Water Security Agency

SASKATCHEWAN

November 27, 2019

Flow Forecasting and Operations Planning in Saskatchewan

2019 Prairie Provinces Water Board Workshop, Edmonton

Curtis Hallborg - P.Eng.
Manager, Flow Forecasting & Operations Planning

Kangsheng Wu – Ph.D.
Engineer, Flow Forecasting & Operations Planning

Kamrul Hossain - P.Eng.
Engineer, Flow Forecasting & Operations Planning

Significant Flood Events

2010 – Maple Creek

2010 – Good Spirit Lake

2011 – Souris

2014 – East Central Sask.

Common Denominator → GREEN → NO SNOW

Typical Distribution of Annual Flow



Typically about 80% of annual flow occurs between February 1 and May 30

What's happening with our Precipitation in Sask?

- Increase in multi-day rainfall events and more rainfall runoff.

Hydrological regime changes in a Canadian Prairie basin

Stacey Dumanski, John W. Pomeroy* and Cherie J. Westbrook

Centre for Hydrology, University of Saskatchewan, 117 Science Place, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, S7N 5C8, Canada



Current Tools Used by WSA

- Use simple empirical/regression relationships between snow water equivalent, antecedent conditions, and snowmelt runoff volume and peak flow.

- No rainfall runoff modelling, just snowmelt



What is WSA Doing to Improve Tools/Model?

action area 5.1

flood damage prevention and emergency response in developed areas

actions

a. Develop improved flood forecasting tools *(2016)*

- New funding to flood forecasting in the 2014 and 2018 Provincial Budgets to improve flood forecasting functions, enabling the creation and growth of a dedicated flood forecasting unit



University of Saskatchewan Consultation

Key Points

- Most existing hydrological models do not include cold region processes.
- Even fewer models are able to simulate the fill and spill processes of the prairies.
- A physically based model is suggested rather than a statistically or conceptually based model.
    - Likely better equipped for a changing climate and for events outside of those included in the observed record.
- A separate reservoir simulation model is likely required.
- A data handling platform will likely be required.



Recommendations for Saskatchewan Hydrological Modelling

A Report to the Saskatchewan Water Security Agency

Kevin Shook and John Pomeroy

Centre for Hydrology

University of Saskatchewan

117 Science Place

Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

S7N 5C8

November 30, 2016

Potential Swift Current Creek Components



Model Inter-Comparison Project

We are in the end-stages of a model inter-comparison project

- Two watersheds
  - Moose Jaw River
  - Swift Current Creek
- Four hydrologic models for each watershed
  - VIC, MESH, SWAT-PDLD, and HBV-EC
  - Working on Raven with Dr. Craig
- Evaluate based on:
  - Data needs
  - Appropriateness for operational use
  - Predictive ability



Objectives

1. To identify several hydrological modelling tools that have the capability to handle Canadian prairie watersheds

2. Evaluate and compare the responses of individual models using the same input data and calibration period

3. Recommend a model or models for operational use

Model Selection

	HBV-EC	VIC	MESH	SWAT-PDLD
Response Unit	Sub-catchments based Response Unit	Grid based Response Unit	Grouped Response Unit (landuse based)	Hydrological Response Unit
Processing time (running MJ model from 2009 to 2015)	**1.1 min**	**2.9 min**	**6.5 min**	**6 sec**
Hydro-meteorological input	**Daily forcing data**	Hourly forcing data	Hourly forcing data	Hourly forcing data
Flow routing	No routing is used	No routing is used	Continuity Equation	Variable Storage Routing Method
Snowmelt	Degree day method	**Energy balance method**	**Energy balance method**	Degree day method
Evapotranspiration	Conceptual	Physically-based	Physically-based	Penman-Monteith, Priestley-Taylor, or Hargreaves method
Prairie pothole dynamics	**Non-existent**	**Additional components for lakes, wetlands, frozen soil included**	**Use probability distribution function of pothole capacity**	**Use probability distribution function of pothole capacity**

Study Sites

- Two watersheds used
    - Moose Jaw River (~5200 km^2)
    - Swift Current Creek (~1400 km^2)



Input data

- Meteorological
  - Weather stations
  - GEM-CaPA (7 parameters)
- Soil
  - HWSD (Harmonized World Soil Database v 1.2)
  - Ecodistricts
  - SLC (Soil Landscapes of Canada)
- Vegetation / Land cover
  - Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR)
  - Circa2000
  - Global Land Cover (GLCC)
- DEM
- Observed flow

Run and Calibration

- Two years of spin-up
- Calibration from 2010-14
- Validation from 2014-18
- Only Streamflow is evaluated for comparison purpose
- Objective function is to maximize Nash-Sutcliff values for streamflow

HBV-EC

- Conceptual model
- Soil routine with 3 parameters
- GreenKenue 3.8.2
  - i. Climate zone
  - ii. Calibration (Monte-Carlo)
- Take-away messages
  - i. Works excellent in certain sites
  - ii. Needs more weather stations
  - iii. Improvements for prairie





Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC)

- Macroscale and grid-based model
- Three soil layers to 1/1.5 meter
- Extensive soil parameters (53)
- Variable infiltration curve
- R packages for both VIC and calibration tool (hydroPSO)





VIC in Prairies: Soil Moisture Anomaly Percentage Index (SMAPI)



Canadian Water Resources Journal

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:
http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tcwr20

Reconstructing sixty year (1950-2009) daily soil moisture over the Canadian Prairies using the Variable Infiltration Capacity model

Lei Wen , Charles A. Lin , Zhiyong Wu , Guihua Lu , John Pomeroy & Yufei Zhu

Published online: 23 Jan 2013.



- Regional and national soil moisture mapping
    - Monthly mean for 1-meter soil
    - Drier and wetter years

MEC Surface & Hydrology (MESH)



Sub-grid Hetereogeneity (land cover, soil type, slope, aspect, altitude)

A relatively small number of classes are kept, only the % of coverage for each class is kept



- Require wide range of high-quality data
- Not user friendly
- Complex parameterization
- Demands high processing powe

CGU HS Committee on River Ice Processes and the Environment
20th Workshop on the Hydraulics of Ice Covered Rivers
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, May 14-16, 2019.

Towards Improved Real-time Forecasting of River Ice Breakup

Prabin Rokaya[1], Luis Morales-Marin[2], Karl-Erich Lindenschmidt[3]
[1] *Global Institute for Water Security and School of Environment and Sustainability, University of Saskatchewan, 11 Innovation Boulevard, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 3H5, Canada*
Sustainability, University of
, Canada Email address
Sustainability, University of
7N 3H5, Canada

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 21, 4825–4839, 2017
https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-4825-2017

Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
EGU

A hydrological prediction system based on the SVS land-surface scheme: efficient calibration of GEM-Hydro for streamflow simulation over the Lake Ontario basin

yan Tolson[2], Lauren M. Fry[4], Tim Hunter[5],
R), Dorval, H9P1J3, Canada
, N2L3G1, Canada
l Hydrology Office, Detroit, MI 48226, USA
bor, MI 48108, USA
6, Canada

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect
Remote Sensing of Environment
journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rse
ELSEVIER

Assimilation of SMOS soil moisture over the Great Lakes basin

Xiaoyong Xu[a,*], Bryan A. Tolson[b], Jonathan Li[a], Ralf M. Staebler[c], Frank Seglenieks[d], Amin Haghnegahdar[b], Bruce Davison[e]

[a] Department of Geography and Environmental Management, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada
[b] Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada
[c] Air Quality Processes Research Section, Environment Canada, Toronto, ON, Canada
[d] Boundary Water Issues, Environment Canada, Burlington, ON, Canada
[e] National Hydrology Research Centre, Environment Canada, Saskatoon, SK, Canada

Soil & Water Assessment Tool - Probability Distributed Landscape Depressions (SWAT-PDLD)

HYDROLOGICAL PROCESSES
Hydrol. Process. (2016)
Published online in Wiley Online Library
(wileyonlinelibrary.com) DOI: 10.1002/hyp.10800

Incorporating landscape depression heterogeneity into the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) using a probability distribution

Balew A. Mekonnen,* Kerry A. Mazurek and Gordon Putz
Department of Civil and Geological Engineering, University of Saskatchewan, 57 Campus Dr., Saskatoon, SK, S7N 5A9, Canada



Multiple storages using probability distribution to represent numerous landscape depressions within a sub-basin

- Experimental setup
- No real-world application yet

Courtesy to Dr. Balew Mekonnen

Hydrographs and Performance Indicators



Flood Event Simulation



Scatter Plot Comparison



Non-Exceedance Probability Comparison



Findings and Path Forward

- Results are suggesting a multi-model ensemble may be required.
  - Help capture uncertainty/enhance decision making
  - Not fully reliant on a single model
- MESH performed well in general.
- RAVEN offers lots of flexibility “Modeller's Model”
- MESH and RAVEN are continuing to be developed/refined:
  - There is ongoing and/or planned work to improve prairie and cold region processes in both
- Both have been proven to work in operational forecasting
- May need to have different model calibrations for operational application (low and high flow, snowmelt and rainfall)
- VIC may be a useful tool for modelling soil moisture to offer insight on antecedent conditions.
- Will continue to explore other models in the future

Thank You!

Hydro-Meteorological Data

- Meteorological data from CaPA-GEM
- Streamflow data from Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) and Water Security Agency (WSA)
- Elevation and landcover data from Geobase
- Soil data from Agriculture and Agri-food Canada (AAFC)
- Vegetation data from Advanced Very-High-Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR)

Calibration Parameters

1. River roughness factor
2. Surface storage capacity
3. Surface storages connectivity coefficient (shape factor)
4. Limiting snow depth below which coverage is less than 100%
5. Water ponding depth for snow covered areas
6. Water ponding depth for snow free areas
7. Manning's n for overland
8. Permeable depth of the soil column
9. Fraction of the saturated surface soil conductivity moving in the horizontal direction

Calibration Parameters

- SCS runoff curve number
- Canopy storage
- Surface runoff lag time
- Baseflow alpha factor
- Snowfall temperature
- Snowmelt base temperature
- Melt rate
- Snowpack temperature lag factor
- Snow water equivalent that corresponds to 50% and 100% snow cover
- Manning's *n* for the main channel

Table I. Parameters selected for SWAT model automatic calibration and resulting optimum values for the three model setups: 'no depressions' approach (Setup-1), single lumped storage approach (Setup-2), and PDLD approach (Setup-3)

Parameter	Parameter default value	Range of optimization		Optimum parameter values for Assiniboine River watershed			Optimum parameter values for Moose Jaw River watershed		
		Min	Max	Setup-1	Setup-2	Setup-3	Setup-1	Setup-2	Setup-3
CN2[a,b]	Varies	−10	+10	−7.11	3.36	−2.00	−8.00	−2.53	−3.64
ESCO[a,b]	0.90	0	1	0.41	0.82	0.80	0.62	0.52	0.56
SURLAG[a,b]	4	0	10	0.50	1.31	1.00	0.70	1.43	1.00
ALPHA_BF[a,b]	0.048 day	0	1	0.55	0.23	0.34	0.70	0.33	0.49
SFTMP[b]	1 °C	−5	+5	−2.1	−1.21	−0.64	−2.4	−3.20	−4.94
SMTMP[b]	0.5 °C	−5	+5	−0.5	−4.20	−3.29	2.7	−3.33	−2.25
SMFMX[b]	4.5 mm °C^{-1} d^{-1}	0	7	4.0	3.22	2.15	6.9	2.72	2.55
SMFMN[b]	4.5 mm °C^{-1} d^{-1}	0	7	0.6	1.10	0.23	2.5	0.97	0.94
TIMP[b]	1	0	1	0.3	0.21	0.05	0.12	0.08	0.01
SNOCOVMX[b]	1 mm	0	500	195	150	225	195	98	121
SNO50COV[b]	0.5	0	1	0.22	0.10	0.02	0.09	0.13	0.02
SMAX[b]	varies	−0.2%	+0.2%	—	—	+0.13%	—	—	+0.09%
CH_N[a,b]	0.014	0	0.065	0.065	0.055	0.04	0.065	0.061	0.05

[a] Ranked within the first five most sensitive parameter based on the sensitivity analysis of current study.
[b] Parameters that were identified as calibration parameters in previously published SWAT models.

Study Sites







The selection of these watersheds is influenced by availability of good quality hydro-meteorological data, WSA preference, and location